Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

No. 02-51 MAY 30 2002

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

WILLIAM F. LINCOLN, M.D.,

Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES,

Respondent.

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Eleventh Circuit

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

WILLIAM F. LINCOLN, M.D.,
Pro se
637 Earl's Slough Ct.
Tallahassee, FL 32312
(850) 544-9700

3P9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 1:93-CR-506-GET
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:~~98~~-CV-~~3036~~-GET 99 2245
WILLIAM F. LINCOLN	:	

ORDER

(Filed Feb. 27, 2001)

The defendant in the above-styled matter has filed various motions seeking to have his sentence vacated pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

In his various motions he has raised several issues based upon his allegations of ineffective assistance of trial counsel as well as certain alleged jurisdictional deficiencies.

The government in this matter has filed responses to the motions and contentions of the defendant, the first being filed on or about October 18, 1999 [docket no. 362] and the other filed on or about February 11, 2000 [docket no. 371]. The government has accurately and correctly refuted the allegations of the defendant and the reasoning and position of the government as set forth in dockets 362 and 371, are hereby adopted by the court. For the reasons set forth in the government's response [docket no. 362 & 371], the defendant is not entitled to an evidentiary hearing nor is he entitled to the relief that he is seeking.

The court takes this opportunity to point out that the defendant received a fair trial and the evidence of his guilt was over-whelming as stated by the Court of Appeals on

direct appeal. The court also points out that counsel for the defendant did an outstanding job under the most trying of circumstances and his performance was in no respect deficient.

Therefore the defendant's motion seeking relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 are hereby OVERRULED and DENIED upon each and every ground asserted therein.

SO ORDERED, this 27 day of February, 2001.

/s/ G. Ernest Tidwell
G. ERNEST TIDWELL, JUDGE
UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT